

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/981,155	10/16/2001	Rodney Scott Armentrout	71418	5616	
75	90 11/29/2002				
Cheryl J. Tubach			EXAMINER		
Eastman Chemical Company P.O. Box 511			MULLIS, JEFFREY C		
Kingsport, TN 37662-5075			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1711	و	
			DATE MAILED: 11/29/2002	5	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Applie	cation No.	Applicant(s)		
•					·/	
· Office Action Summary			1,155 		ARMENTROUT ET AL.	
	onice Action Sammary	Exami		Art Unit		
Th	e MAILING DATE of this commun		C. Mullis	with the correspondence as	ddress	
Period for Re		ncution appears on	the cover sheet	war the correspondence a	dai coo	
THE MAIL - Extensions after SIX (6 - If the period - If NO period - Failure to re - Any reply re	ENED STATUTORY PERIOD F ING DATE OF THIS COMMUN of time may be available under the provisions of MONTHS from the mailing date of this comm of tor reply specified above is less than thirty (3 d for reply is specified above, the maximum si eply within the set or extended period for reply secived by the Office later than three months a ont term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ICATION. s of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In n munication. 30) days, a reply within the latutory period will apply ai y will, by statute, cause the	o event, however, may estatutory minimum of nd will expire SIX (6) M estapplication to become	a reply be timely filed thirty (30) days will be considered time ONTHS from the mailing date of this ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
1) <u></u> Re	sponsive to communication(s) fi	led on				
2a)☐ Th	is action is FINAL.	2b) This action	n is non-final.			
	nce this application is in condition sed in accordance with the prace of Claims				he merits is	
4)⊠ Clai	m(s) 1-42 is/are pending in the	application.				
4a) (Of the above claim(s) is/a	are withdrawn from	consideration.			
5)☐ Clai	m(s) is/are allowed.					
6)∐ Clai	m(s) is/are rejected.					
7)∐ Clai	m(s) is/are objected to.					
•	m(s) <u>1-42</u> are subject to restrict	ion and/or election	requirement.			
Application F	Papers					
<u> </u>	specification is objected to by th		_			
•	drawing(s) filed on is/are:			•		
	plicant may not request that any ob					
	proposed drawing correction file			disapproved by the Exami	ner.	
	approved, corrected drawings are re					
•	oath or declaration is objected to	by the Examiner.	•			
_	r 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120			2 0 440() () ()		
·—	nowledgment is made of a claim	n for foreign priority	y under 35 U.S.C	3. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).		
, —	b) Some * c) None of:					
	Certified copies of the priority					
	Certified copies of the priority			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
3 * See t	Copies of the certified copies application from the Interi he attached detailed Office action	national Bureau (P	CT Rule 17.2(a)).	l Stage	
14) Ackn	owledgment is made of a claim	for domestic priorit	y under 35 U.S.	C. § 119(e) (to a provisiona	al application).	
	The translation of the foreign la owledgment is made of a claim	• • •	* *			
Attachment(s)						
2) Notice of D	deferences Cited (PTO-892) Fraftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (F In Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) F			ew Summary (PTO-413) Paper No of Informal Patent Application (P		

Serial No. 09/981,155
 Art Unit 1711

The following is a requirement for <u>election of species and</u>

restriction between two groups of inventions. In order to be

fully responsive, applicants must respond to both the election of species and the restriction between groups of inventions.

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. § 121:

- I. Claims 1-22, drawn to a product, classified in Class525, subclass 54.3.
- II. Claims 23-42, drawn to a process, classified in Class528, subclass 486.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions I and II are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make other and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case the product as claimed can be made by another materially different process such as a process in which a protected end capping monomer containing a hydroxyl group such as a polyalkylene glycol having a protected acid end group is reacted with a hard segment and then the protecting group removed.

Serial No. 09/981,155
Art Unit 1711

This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention: Applicants are required to elect a single alkylene glycol (polyether) from one of those in claim 6; applicants also are required to elect a single hard segment polymer by electing either polyurethanes or polyamides or polycarbonates or a hard segment from which polyetheresteramides are formed; applicants are also required to elect a single acid end capping free agent by selecting one of those in claim 17; applicants are also required to elect a single thermoplastic base material from one of those in claim 21.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. § 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, claim [2] generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37

Serial No. 09/981,155
Art Unit 1711

CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) of the other invention.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art because of their recognized divergent subject matter, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must

Serial No. 09/981,155

Art Unit 1711

be accompanied by a petition under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Due to the complexity of this election/restriction, no telephone election/restriction was attempted.

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to Jeffrey Mullis at telephone number (703) 308-2820.

J. Mullis:cdc

November 25, 2002

